| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|---|--|
| | 10/602,305 | STARK ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Timothy C. Vanoy | 1754 |
| Il Participants: Status of Application: pending | | |
| (1) Timothy C. Vanoy. | (3) | |
| (2) Mr. Donald Dowden, applicants' attorney. | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 7 September 2006 | Time: <u>10:10 am</u> | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applica Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | nt's representative) | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: none | | |
| Claims discussed: 2 and 20-27 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet | RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS | S DISCUSSED: |
| Part III. | | |
| ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary | examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the | en summary of the substance interview, since the interview |
| Timothy C Vanoy | | |
| rexaminer/SPE Signature) (Applicant/ | Applicant's Representative Si | onature – it appropriate) |

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner requested authorization to amend the 2nd line of the abstract by inserting --by flame spray pyrolysis-- between "oxides" and ","; amend the 2nd line of claim 2 by inserting --of the whole solvent-- between "75 %" and "." and to also cancel claims 20-27 via an examiner's amendment. Attorney granted authorization for entering these proposed examiner's amendments..